

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/857,884	BENZ ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Robert C. Scheibel	2666	

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 2:00 EST

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Rejection(s) discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner explained that the wording of the claims as submitted seemed to imply something other than the subject matter supported by the specification. Examiner suggested a simple modification that would correct this issue. Applicant agreed; the changes are included in the enclosed Examiner's Amendment. Examiner also pointed out the typographical error in the TFCI acronym as well as the dependency issue in claim 19; Applicant agreed to have these changes made via Examiner's Amendment.